



Group Art Unit: 1627

Examiner: M. Garcia Baker

PATENT

TECH CENTER 1600 2900 Customer No.: 27038 Attorney Docket No. 9210.8050-00

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	pplica	tion of	F:
---------	--------	---------	----

Burton G. CHRISTENSEN, et al.

Application No.: 09/457,926

Filed: December 8, 1999

For:

**NOVEL ANTIBACTERIAL AGENTS** 

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## SUBMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114

In reply to the Final Office Action dated July 2, 2002, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application in light of this Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c). This paper is filed concurrently with a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(a) and is timely in light of the Notice of Appeal filed November 4, 2002, the filing of Appellants' Brief on December 13, 2002, the Examiner's Answer mailed on March 10, 2003, and the filing of a Reply Brief and Request for Oral Hearing on April 28, 2003.

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW DUNNER止

1300 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com





## JUL 1 8 2003

## TABLE OF CONTENTS IECH C

**TECH CENTER 1600/2900** 

l. —	DISP	DISPOSITION OF THE CLAIMS1			
П.	REJE	JECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A)1			
	A.	The Examiner has failed to establish a proper prima facie case of obviousness			
		1.	The references do not contain a suggestion or motivation to combine them4		
			a. The Truett Reference4		
			b. The Boeckh Reference7		
			c. The Staroske Reference7		
			d. The Renoud-Grappin Reference9		
		2.	The references do not indicate that one skilled in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success		
	B.	The re	The references teach away from the instant claims12		
		1.	The Truett Reference		
		2.	The Boeckh Reference		
		3.	The Renoud-Grappin Reference15		
		4.	The Staroske Reference		
	C.	-	The presently claimed invention was contrary to accepted wisdom in the art21		
	D.	The combination of Truett and Boeckh would have rendered Boeckh unsuitable for its intended purpose23			
	E.	The Renoud-Grappin reference is non-analogous art and cannot properly be used in combination to find the instant claims obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)27			
111	CONC	ICLUSION 30			

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT & DUNNER LP

1300 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 202.408.4000 Fax 202.408.4400 www.finnegan.com